

Les McCaskey (Rothesay Place). 18A Rothesay Place Edinburgh EH37SQ Mr Robert Darge. 19 Ferry Gait Drive Edinburgh EH4 4GJ

Decision date: 23 August 2019

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACTS **DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013**

porch extension to front of property, Form French doors to rear. At 19 Ferry Gait Drive Edinburgh EH4 4GJ

Application No: 19/03461/FUL

DECISION NOTICE

With reference to your application for Planning Permission registered on 18 July 2019, this has been decided by **Local Delegated Decision**. The Council in exercise of its powers under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts and regulations, now determines the application as **Refused** in accordance with the particulars given in the application.

Any condition(s) attached to this consent, with reasons for imposing them, or reasons for refusal, are shown below;

Conditions:-

Reasons:-

- 1. The proposal is contrary to Edinburgh Local Development Plan Policy Des 12 as it is not in keeping with the current spatial pattern of the area, and would have a detrimental impact on existing neighbourhood character.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to non-statutory Guidance for Householders which states that extensions that project beyond the principal elevation line are not generally allowed unless this fits in with the local character of the street. This is not characteristic of Ferry Gait Drive, where the building line remains unbreached, and completely uniform. The character of the area is in large part reliant on this uniformity, and as such the proposal is unacceptable.

Please see the guidance notes on our <u>decision page</u> for further information, including how to appeal or review your decision.

Drawings 01-06, represent the determined scheme. Full details of the application can be found on the <u>Planning and Building Standards Online Services</u>

The reason why the Council made this decision is as follows:

The proposed scale, form and design is unacceptable and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the host property and surrounding area. The proposal would be unacceptable by virtue of the breach of the current building line of the application site by the proposed porch, which would not be in keeping with the current spatial pattern of the area and would have a detrimental impact on the existing character of the street. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Des 12 and the non-statutory Guidance for Householders. There are no material planning considerations which would justify approval.

This determination does not carry with it any necessary consent or approval for the proposed development under other statutory enactments.

Should you have a specific enquiry regarding this decision please contact Christopher Sillick directly on 0131 529 3522.

Chief Planning Officer

DR Leelie

PLACE

The City of Edinburgh Council

NOTES

- 1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months beginning with the date of this notice. The Notice of Review can be made online at www.eplanning.scot or forms can be downloaded from that website. Paper forms should be addressed to the City of Edinburgh Planning Local Review Body, G.2, Waverley Court, 4 East Market Street, Edinburgh, EH8 8BG. For enquiries about the Local Review Body, please email localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk.
- 2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

Report of Handling

Application for Planning Permission 19/03461/FUL At 19 Ferry Gait Drive, Edinburgh, EH4 4GJ porch extension to front of property, Form French doors to rear.

Item Local Delegated Decision

Application number 19/03461/FUL **Wards** B01 - Almond

Summary

The proposed scale, form and design is unacceptable and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the host property and surrounding area. The proposal would be unacceptable by virtue of the breach of the current building line of the application site by the proposed porch, which would not be in keeping with the current spatial pattern of the area and would have a detrimental impact on the existing character of the street. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Des 12 and the non-statutory Guidance for Householders. There are no material planning considerations which would justify approval.

Links

Policies and guidance for this application

LDPP, LDES12, NSG, NSHOU,

Report of handling

Recommendations

1.1 It is recommended that this application be Refused for the reasons below.

Background

2.1 Site description

The application site is a three storey, end terrace, townhouse, located on the southwest side of Ferry Gait Drive where the road meets Ferry Gait Place.

2.2 Site History

There is no relevant planning history for this site.

Main report

3.1 Description Of The Proposal

Formation of new porch to the front of the property.

The proposal to form French doors to the rear of the property is permitted development under Class 2B of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Scotland) Order 1992 (as amended). No further assessment of these alterations is required.

3.2 Determining Issues

Section 25 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 states - Where, in making any determination under the planning Acts, regard is to be had to the development plan, the determination shall be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Do the proposals comply with the development plan?

If the proposals do comply with the development plan, are there any compelling reasons for not approving them?

If the proposals do not comply with the development plan, are there any compelling reasons for approving them?

3.3 Assessment

To address these determining issues, it needs to be considered whether:

- a) The proposal is of an acceptable scale, form and design and will not be detrimental to neighbourhood character;
- b) The proposal will result in an unreasonable loss of neighbouring amenity.
- a) The walls of proposed porch on the principal elevation of the property would project approximately 2.15 metres from the property, with the roof projecting 2.3 metres from the current building line. The porch would have an approximate footprint of 4.61 metres. The porch does not comply with permitted development legislation in that it is fronted by a road on both the principal and side elevations, and also exceeds the 3 square metre footprint allowed.

The Council's non-statutory guidance, outlined in 'Guidance for Householders', states that extensions that project beyond the principal elevation line are not generally allowed unless this fits in with the local character of the street. Local Development Plan policy 'Des 12 Alterations and Extensions' states permission will only be granted where a proposal will not be detrimental to neighbourhood amenity and character. The proposed breach of the current building line would not be in keeping with the character of the street, or the spatial pattern of the area, and as such does not comply with Local Development Plan policy or Council guidance.

The application site is situated in a modern development on Ferry Gait Drive. The street is characterised by three storey townhouses, and four storey flat blocks, with an exposed brick and white render finish. The building line remains unbreached and completely uniform across the entire street, and within the surrounding area. The uniform building line gives way to paved drives with space for up to two cars. The drives are fronted by attractive tree planting, and offer a sense of open space throughout the public realm. The character of the area is derived in large part from the uniformity of the building form, in terms of both the modern finishing of the properties, and the uniform building line.

The proposed breach in the building line to accommodate the formation of a new porch is unprecedented in the surrounding neighbourhood, and not in keeping with the current spatial pattern of the area. The proposed development would look unusual in the context of the street, and would damage the sense of openess of the area. The proposal would have a detrimental impact on the character of the area, and as such does not comply with Local Development Plan Policy Des 12, and non-statutory guidance outlined in Guidance for Householders. The proposal is unacceptable.

b) The proposal fully accords with the criteria in the 'Guidance for Householders' in relation to the protection of neighbouring amenity.

It is recommended that this application be Refused for the reasons below.

3.4 Conditions/reasons/informatives

Reasons:-

- 1. The proposal is contrary to Edinburgh Local Development Plan Policy Des 12 as it is not in keeping with the current spatial pattern of the area, and would have a detrimental impact on existing neighbourhood character.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to non-statutory Guidance for Householders which states that extensions that project beyond the principal elevation line are not generally allowed unless this fits in with the local character of the street. This is not characteristic of Ferry Gait Drive, where the building line remains unbreached, and completely uniform. The character of the area is in large part reliant on this uniformity, and as such the proposal is unacceptable.

Risk, Policy, compliance and governance impact

4.1 Provided planning applications are determined in accordance with statutory legislation, the level of risk is low.

Equalities impact

5.1 The equalities impact has been assessed as follows:

The application has been assessed and has no impact in terms of equalities or human rights.

Consultation and engagement

6.1 Pre-Application Process

There is no pre-application process history.

6.2 Publicity summary of representations and Community Council comments

No representations have been received.

Background reading / external references

- To view details of the application go to
- Planning and Building Standards online services

Statutory Development

Plan Provision Edinburgh Local Development Plan.

Date registered 18 July 2019

Drawing numbers/Scheme 01-06

Scheme 1

David R. Leslie Chief Planning Officer PLACE The City of Edinburgh Council

Contact: Christopher Sillick, Planning Officer

E-mail:christopher.sillick@edinburgh.gov.uk Tel:0131 529 3522

Links - Policies

Relevant Policies:

Relevant policies of the Local Development Plan.

LDP Policy Des 12 (Alterations and Extensions) sets criteria for assessing alterations and extensions to existing buildings.

Relevant Non-Statutory Guidelines

Non-statutory guidelines 'GUIDANCE FOR HOUSEHOLDERS' provides guidance for proposals to alter or extend houses or flats.

Appendix 1

Consultations

No consultations undertaken.

END

19/00149/ REVREF.

NOTICE OF REVIEW

Under Section 43A(8) Of the Town and County Planning (SCOTLAND) ACT 1997 (As amended) In Respect of Decisions on Local Developments

The Town and Country Planning (Schemes of Delegation and Local Review Procedure) (SCOTLAND) Regulations 2013

The Town and Country Planning (Appeals) (SCOTLAND) Regulations 2013

IMPORTANT: Please read and follow the guidance notes provided when completing this form. Failure to supply all the relevant information could invalidate your notice of review.

PLEASE NOTE IT IS FASTER AND SIMPLER TO SUBMIT PLANNING APPLICATIONS
ELECTRONICALLY VIA https://www.eplanning.scot

1. Applicant's De	tails	2. Agent's Details (
2. Agent's Details (if ally)			
Title	MR	Ref No.	
Forename	ROBERT	Forename	LES
Surname	DARGE	Surname	MCASKEY
Company Name		Company Name	
Building No./Name	19	Building No./Name	18A
Address Line 1	FERRY GAIT	Address Line 1	ROTHESAY PLACE
Address Line 2	PRIVE	Address Line 2	
Town/City	EDIN-BURGH	Town/City	EDINBURGH
Postcode	EH4 AGJ	Postcode	EH3 75Q
Telephone		Telephone	
 Mobile		Mobile	
Fax	/	Fax	
Email		Emai	
3. Application De	tails *UK		, and
Planning authority CITY OF EDINBURGH COUNCIL			
Planning authority's application reference number 19/03461/FUL			
Site address			
-			El .
19 FERRY GAIT DRIVE E. D			
	4 4 GJ		S 2 5
Description of propo	osed development	· ~	ian co
PORCH EXTENSION TO FRONT OF PROPERTY			
FORM FREAUCH DOORS TO REAR			
1 1		1 11 1 2 1 1 1	U'

Date of application 18th tour 2019 Date of decision (if any) 23th was 7 2019			
Note. This notice must be served on the planning authority within three months of the date of decision notice or from the date of expiry of the period allowed for determining the application. 4. Nature of Application			
Application for planning permission (including householder application)			
Application for planning permission in principle			
Further application (including development that has not yet commenced and where a time limit has been imposed; renewal of planning permission and/or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition)			
Application for approval of matters specified in conditions			
5. Reasons for seeking review			
Refusal of application by appointed officer			
Failure by appointed officer to determine the application within the period allowed for determination of the application			
Conditions imposed on consent by appointed officer			
6. Review procedure			
The Local Review Body will decide on the procedure to be used to determine your review and may at any time during the review process require that further information or representations be made to enable them to determine the review. Further information may be required by one or a combination of procedures, such as: written submissions; the holding of one or more hearing sessions and/or inspecting the land which is the subject of the review case.			
Please indicate what procedure (or combination of procedures) you think is most appropriate for the handling of your review. You may tick more than one box if you wish the review to be conducted by a combination of procedures.			
Further written submissions One or more hearing sessions Site inspection Assessment of review documents only, with no further procedure			
If you have marked either of the first 2 options, please explain here which of the matters (as set out in your statement below) you believe ought to be subject of that procedure, and why you consider further submissions or a hearing necessary.			
7. Site inspection			
In the event that the Local Review Body decides to inspect the review site, in your opinion:			
Can the site be viewed entirely from public land? Is it possible for the site to be accessed safely, and without barriers to entry?			



f there are reasons why you think the Local Review Body would be unable to undertake an unaccompanied site nspection, please explain here:
The state of the s
Statement
ou must state, in full, why you are seeking a review on your application. Your statement must set out all matters ou consider require to be taken into account in determining your review. Note: you may not have a further poprtunity to add to your statement of review at a later date. It is therefore essential that you submit with your otice of review, all necessary information and evidence that you rely on and wish the Local Review Body to onsider as part of your review.
the Local Review Body issues a notice requesting further information from any other person or body, you will ave a period of 14 days in which to comment on any additional matter which has been raised by that person or ody.
state here the reasons for your notice of review and all matters you wish to raise. If necessary, this can be ontinued or provided in full in a separate document. You may also submit additional documentation with this form.
REFFAR TO FACCOSTAD SUPPORTILLY STATISMENT
Have you raised any matters which were not before the appointed officer at the time your application was determined? Yes No
f yes, please explain below a) why your are raising new material b) why it was not raised with the appointed officer before your application was determined and c) why you believe it should now be considered with your review.



Please provide a list of all supporting documents, materials and evidence which you wish to submit with your no of review	otice
· HOUSE HOSDER APPLICATION FORM · LOCAR ANTIBRITY PLANMING DECISION LOTICE. · SUPPOPTING STATEMENT. DRIMING Nos 1 01, 02, 03, 04,05	
Note. The planning authority will make a copy of the notice of review, the review documents and any notice of the procedure of the review available for inspection at an office of the planning authority until such time as the review determined. It may also be available on the planning authority website. 10. Checklist	he w is
TO. Checklist	
Please mark the appropriate boxes to confirm that you have provided all supporting documents and evidence relevant to your review:	
Full completion of all parts of this form	•
Statement of your reasons for requesting a review	,
All documents, materials and evidence which you intend to rely on (e.g. plans and drawings or other documents) which are now the subject of this review.	ŕ
Note. Where the review relates to a further application e.g. renewal of planning permission or modification, variation or removal of a planning condition or where it relates to an application for approval of matters specified conditions, it is advisable to provide the application reference number, approved plans and decision notice from that earlier consent.	d in
DECLARATION	-
I, the applicant/agent hereby serve notice on the planning authority to review the application as set out on this form in the supporting documents. I hereby confirm that the information given in this form is true and accurate to best of my knowledge.	orm the
Signature: L-WCCHSKTZY Date: 5/11/16	
Any personal data that you have been asked to provide on this from will be held and processed in accordance verbate Protection Legislation.	with

9. List of Documents and Evidence

Notice of Review SUPPORTING STATTEMENT

The applicant acknowledges the planning authorities findings, but would refute the reasons given in respect of refusal of the said application.

The applicant would dispute the planning authorities comments that the proposed porch extension as submitted and is of the opinion that the proposed new addition would not have a detrimental impact on either the existing property, character of the neighbourhood or the street scene in general

The applicant would state that the introduction of said extension would sit comfortably against the existing property frontage and that the general form, design and scale of the proposed porch structure would in fact compliment the main property and would not detract from the local character of the street

Whilst the applicant would agree that the proposed porch would project beyond the principle elevation line it would not result in an unacceptable addition, as with any small porch extension to domestic properties.

The scale, design and materials proposed in respect of new extension reflect generally what is currently in place and in adjacent properties in respect of material, style and design and would not have a detrimental impact or look out of place in general.

No objections or complaints have been submitted in respect of the proposals and the applicant has consulted a number of adjacent property owners who are very supportive with some also interested in a similar addition to their properties.

It is hoped that the review board shall look favourably in respect of this appeal and take into consideration the points raised by the applicant in support of his appeal.

FORM SCHOOLUGY

CITTLE CINTEN WITH ISOM WINDIMM


KITCHEN

KITCHEN


WITH INSITO-CONCRETE THRISHOUD


STECTION: 1-1 1'5.

* INSUCATION PIETUREN AT NEW INGO



PEAR ECRUATION 1:50






SECTION 1-1 1:50

SECTION 2-2 1:50

PROPOSED ACTERATIONS	
19 FIGERY GAIT DRIVE	
EDINBURGH	
TUY 2019	
	07



FRONT ECEUATION 1:50

POIZCH EXTENSION	
19. FERRIGHT DRIVE	
EDINBUZGH	
Juy 2019	
	03

From: Gina Bellhouse

Sent: Wed, 8 Jan 2020 10:48:37 +0000

To: Aidan McMillan

Subject: FW: Application 19/03461/FUL. 19 Ferry Gait Drive Edinburgh

Hi Aidan,

This item was continued by the Panel on 11 December for further information.

The email below constitutes the requested information. Please can you add this case and the additional information below in to the 29th January Local Review folder, and upload the information onto the Portal.

Any questions please don't hesitate to ask.

Many thanks,

Gina.

From: Les Mccaskey

Sent: 02 January 2020 18:02

To: Gina Bellhouse < Cc: Sarah Stirling <

Subject: Re: Application 19/03461/FUL. 19 Ferry Gait Drive Edinburgh

Hi Gina

In response to your e i have checked dimensions and i would confirm as follows

Wall Dimensions
Front north street elevation 2.20m
West elevation 1.80m
East elevation 2.20m
Distance to boundary 0.25m
Overall Area 4.74m2

Overall roof plan dimensions,including 225mm overhang North elevation 2.650m West elevation 2.025m East elevation 2.425m

I trust this clarifies as requested Regards Les